This publication offers advice to producers, crop consultants, and agronomists to manage Kansas corn crops as efficiently and profitably as possible. The recommendations provide guidelines and must be tailored to each producer’s cropping conditions.

**Planting Practices**

*Planting date.* Plant corn early to maximize productivity and to use the entire growing season. Optimum planting times in Kansas vary from late March in the southeast to mid-May in the northwest. Optimum soil temperature to start planting corn is when the soil at a 2-inch depth reaches 55 degrees Fahrenheit. In medium- to low-yielding environments, below 170 bushels per acre, optimum planting time should synchronize flowering time with adequate summer rains. The distribution and amount of rainfall around flowering (and during grain-filling) have a large influence in defining yield potential because they affect grain number and seed size.

*Row width.* Narrow rows (20- or 15-inch rows) result in greater yields compared to 30-inch rows in conditions with yields greater than 180 bushels per acre. Narrow rows have several advantages, such as rapid canopy closure, enhanced weed control, improved light capture, and reduced erosion. Narrow rows can present poor and non-uniform stands (from the use of grain drills or air seeders without metering seeds). Plant-to-plant uniformity is a key factor influencing corn yields. In low-yielding environments (less than 120 bushels per acre), narrow rows can reduce yields.

*Planting depth.* Optimum planting depth is from 1.5 to 2 inches. sandy soils, which warm more rapidly, and late planting time under dry conditions require deeper seed placement to place the seed into moisture. Planting depths of more than 3 inches can result in poor stands in any soil condition, which can be exacerbated by soil crusting and greater disease and insect pressures.

**Plant Density and Yield Gain**

*Seeding rate.* The optimal seeding rate depends on the environment, hybrid, and practices selected. Producers can look at previous corn crops and evaluate whether plant density was adequate. Planting date, hybrid, row spacing, and rotations also influence the yield response to plant density.

**Dry Down before Harvest**

Grain water loss occurs at different rates but with two distinct phases: 1) before “black layer” or maturity, and 2) after black layer. For the first phase, Table 1 contains information on changes in grain moisture from dent until maturity of the corn. The dry-down rate can range from 0.5 to 1.5 percent per day. The dry-down process can be delayed by low temperatures, high humidity, and high grain moisture content at black layer (38 to 40 percent). These main factors should be considered when scheduling corn harvest.

**Weed Management**

Weeds are a major production problem in corn. An integrated weed-management program consisting of cultural, chemical, and mechanical practices provides the most consistent control of weeds and helps manage and prevent the development of herbicide-resistant weeds. An effective herbicide program should include three components: 1) overlapping residual herbicides,
Table 1. Growth stages, moisture content, and total dry matter progression for corn during the reproductive period.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R Stage</th>
<th>Moisture %</th>
<th>Dry Matter (% of Total Dry Weight)</th>
<th>Average per Substage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Growing Degree Days, °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.25 (¼ milk line)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 (½ milk line)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.75 (¾ milk line)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0 (Physiological maturity)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2) multiple effective herbicide modes of action, and 3) no actively growing weeds at crop emergence.

Multiple-pass herbicide programs using diverse herbicide sites of action are key to managing herbicide-resistant and other difficult-to-control weeds. Starting with a clean seedbed is especially important for managing herbicide-resistant weeds and minimizing weed competition. Fall or early spring burndown applications in a no-till system using glyphosate and a product containing dicamba and/or 2,4-D helps manage winter annual and early spring germinating summer annual weeds. The choice between 2,4-D and dicamba depends on weed species present. Dicamba products are more effective on kochia and marestail, while 2,4-D is more effective on winter annual mustards. Applying residual herbicides like atrazine and dicamba in January to early March is key to controlling kochia. With the development of glyphosate-resistant weeds, it is essential to use preemergence herbicides.

Atrazine (Group 5). Atrazine is a common component of many preplant and preemergence herbicide premixes for corn, as well as being a key component in many postemergence programs. Fall or early spring applications of atrazine with crop-oil concentrate and 2,4-D or dicamba can control winter annual weeds such as mustards and marestail and provide control of most germinating weeds up to planting, including kochia. Postemergence atrazine is often included to broaden the spectrum of broadleaf weed control and synergizes various groups of herbicides. Atrazine-resistant populations of pigweed and kochia are becoming more common in Kansas; therefore, other effective herbicides may need to be considered. For example, in atrazine-resistant pigweed, atrazine should only be counted as a synergist for HPPD-inhibiting herbicides rather than an effective site of action to delay the onset of resistance.

Very Long Chain Fatty Acid Inhibitors (VLCFA, Group 15) and VLCFA/atrazine premixes. Common VLCFA-inhibiting herbicides used in corn are acetochlor, S-metolachlor, dimethamid-P, or pyroxasulfone. These products are effective in providing residual control of annual grasses (except shattercane and perennial Johnsongrass) and small-seeded broadleaf weeds such as pigweed. Group 15 herbicides, with the exception of pyroxasulfone, offer poor control of kochia. These herbicides have no postemergence activity and should be applied preemergence or tank mixed with postemergence herbicides to provide extended residual control of problem weeds such as pigweed. Many metolachlor-containing herbicides are available; however, preference should be given to S-metolachlor, which contains the resolved “S” isomer. The resolved isomer delivers more active ingredient to the target-site. In K-State field trials up to 50 percent more metolachlor is required to achieve comparable weed control as S-metolachlor.

HPPD-inhibitors (Group 27). Many available premixes include an HPPD-inhibiting herbicide with atrazine to synergize the foliar and residual activity of the HPPD-inhibitor. Some HPPD-inhibitors provide residual weed control (isoxaflutole), some provide residual and foliar activity (mesotrione and bicyclopyrone), and others provide mostly foliar activity (tembotrione, topramezone, and topyralate). Some products may be applied preemergence or early postemergence. HPPD herbicides effectively control pigweed, kochia, and many other broadleaf weeds but vary on their effectiveness on annual grasses. The most consistent weed control will be observed when an HPPD-inhibitor is applied with at least 0.5 pound per acre atrazine and a VLCFA-inhibiting residual herbicide.

PPO-inhibitors (Group 14). Examples of PPO-inhibitors include flumioxazin (e.g. Valor, Fierce), and saflufenacil (e.g. Sharpen, Verdict). Valor or Fierce must be applied 7 to 30 days before corn planting in no-till systems. These products provide excellent early-season residual control of pigweed; however, they are marginal on kochia and many grasses. Sharpen and Verdict herbicides have excellent activity on pigweed, kochia, and large-seeded broadleaf weeds. The length of residual of Sharpen and Verdict is short compared to other preemergence products at full rates. POST PPO-inhibitor products include Aim, Cadet, and Resource, which provide good control of velvetleaf, but poor control of pigweed.

ALS-inhibitors (Group 2). Several ALS-inhibitors are used both preemergence and postemergence in corn and can provide broad-spectrum control of many
broadleaf and grass weed species. Many fields contain weeds that are resistant to Group 2 herbicides; however, ALS-inhibitors can be highly effective on susceptible weeds. ALS inhibitors are often premixed with other classes of herbicides to broaden the spectrum of weeds controlled and to help control ALS-resistant weeds.

Other postemergence herbicides. Key postemergence products include glyphosate (Group 9) and glufosinate (Liberty and others, Group 10), which provide broad-spectrum control of emerged grass and broadleaf weeds but require planting corn with the corresponding herbicide-resistant trait. Synthetic auxin herbicides (Group 4), including dicamba, 2,4-D, and fluroxypyr (Starane products) all provide broadleaf weed control at varying levels of effectiveness depending on species treated. Special attention to crop development stage should be given to ensure undue crop injury does not occur when using a Group 4 herbicide.

See K-State’s 2019 Chemical Weed Control of Field Crops, Pastures, Rangeland, and Noncropland, SRP1148 for more details regarding herbicide options for corn.

Nutrient Management

With continuing low grain price for the corn crop, many growers continue to focus on producing good yields as efficiently as possible. Since fertilizer and nutrient inputs are a key component of corn production costs, consider these points when making 2019 production plans.

**Test to determine your soil nutrient needs.** Before investing money in nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulfur, or zinc, invest in good soil tests for these nutrients. Also consider testing both the 0– to 6-inch surface soil and the 0- to 24-inch soil profile to improve the reliability for mobile nutrients such as nitrogen and sulfur. Nutrient levels vary from field to field, and in different areas of fields, so determine nutrient needs before investing in fertilizer. If the phosphorus soil test using the Mehlich 3 test exceeds 20 ppm and the potassium soil test level exceeds 130 ppm, the chances of an economic response to fertilizer in any given year is low.

**Starter fertilizer.** Most corn in Kansas is planted into cool soils, and much is planted using no-till cropping systems. Research shows placing a band of nitrogen and phosphorus on the soil surface near the seed row enhances early growth, speeds up tasseling, and, in many cases, increases yield — especially in low-phosphorus testing soils or no-till corn planted into corn, sorghum, or wheat stubble. When starter fertilizer is placed with the seed in-furrow, application rates should be limited to no more than 10 pounds per acre of N + K₂O to avoid salt damage and stand reduction.

**Nitrogen.** Figure 2 shows the relationship between soil test nitrate and fertilizer-applied nitrogen and grain yield and total nitrogen uptake in a number of corn nitrogen trials across Kansas. There is considerable scatter as results include both irrigated and dryland fields; and water, or lack of water, significantly affects the results. Nitrogen recommendations are strongly improved when the soil test nitrate–nitrogen level is considered. A profile soil test is probably the single most important thing a farmer can do to reduce fertilizer costs and maximize nitrogen use efficiency in corn. A profile soil sample is also valuable for estimating sulfur and chloride needs for corn in Kansas.

**When to apply nitrogen.** Kansas weather typically gives us dry winters and springs with rainfall increasing in May, June, and July before tapering off through the late summer and fall. Corn typically takes up little nitrogen until the 6 or 7 leaf stage of growth, typically late May or early June. During June and July, corn normally takes up half or more of its total nitrogen; however, this corresponds to the period of maximum rainfall in many years, which corresponds to periods of high potential nitrogen loss. By using a split nitrogen application system that supplies some nitrogen early to

![Figure 2. Corn grain yield and total plant nitrogen uptake vs. residual profile nitrate–nitrogen and fertilizer applied nitrogen for corn across several sites over the past 6 years.](image-url)
support key growth functions such as ear formation, which occurs around V-6, with the balance applied later, nitrogen loss can be minimized, and less total nitrogen will need to be applied. The use of an active crop sensor such as a Greenseeker or AgLeader OptRx system can be used to estimate corn nitrogen needs during the growing season. To get the best performance from this tool, limit total nitrogen applications before or at planting to 40 pounds per acre or less and add the remaining nitrogen during sidedressing or a combination of sidedressing and fertigation.

Summary. There are several tools available to help growers take advantage of nutrients stored in the soil and reduce fertilizer application. By incorporating these technologies in a nutrient-management program, Kansas farmers can minimize both input costs and any adverse effects on water supplies. Yield levels were highly variable during 2018, and for fields with good yields the residual nitrogen is likely very low, and additional fertilizer may be required in 2019 to reach optimum yields. This adjustment can be made based on profile soil nitrogen test values.

Diseases

Yield losses from disease in Kansas average an estimated 17.8 percent. Of that percentage, approximately 5.3 percent is attributable to soilborne pathogens, another 1.7 percent comes from foliar diseases, and 0.3 percent from ear rot diseases. The largest contributors to yield loss are stalk rots, accounting for 10.5 percent of losses to total yield.

All commercial seed corn comes pretreated with fungicides and outbreaks of seed rot or seedling blight are not common. The bulk of the yield loss from soilborne organisms is from nematodes. The most common nematode is the root lesion nematode. It is present at some level in most corn fields in the state. Yield losses in individual fields from root lesion nematodes can exceed 40 percent. There are several commercially available seed treatment nematicides currently marketed, but in university trials, results have been inconsistent. Since root lesion nematode has a wide host range, crop rotation has limited benefits. Other plant-parasitic nematodes that occasionally cause losses include the sting, stunt, and stubby-root nematodes.

In recent years, foliar fungicide use has increased, limiting losses to certain foliar diseases, most importantly gray leaf spot. At the same time, southern rust has become an increasingly important disease. Rising average temperatures have allowed the disease to become established a full month earlier than in its historical past. When corn is planted late because of wet fields, or when it is double-cropped after wheat, southern rust can cause yield losses ranging from 5 to 30 percent. For additional information, see Gray Leaf Spot of Corn (tinyurl.com/ybjdsylu), Corn Rust Identification and Management In Kansas (www.bookstore.ksre.ksu.edu/pubs/MF3016.pdf), Southern Rust (crropprotectionnetwork.org/download/2546) and Fungicide Efficacy for Control of Corn Diseases (crropprotectionnetwork.org/download/5214)

Two bacterial foliar diseases, Goss’s leaf blight and corn bacterial streak cannot be treated with fungicides. Goss’s blight management consists almost entirely of resistant hybrid selection. Corn bacterial streak is a new disease. It is not known at this time if it is yield limiting. The primary issue with bacterial streak is that its symptoms are easily confused with gray leaf spot, resulting in the misapplication of fungicides. For more information, see Goss’s Bacterial Wilt and Blight (crropprotectionnetwork.org/download/5759) and Bacterial Leaf Streak (crropprotectionnetwork.org/download/2550).

Stalk rots cause plants to die prematurely. Under severe conditions, lodging can occur, making harvest more difficult and increasing the overall yield loss. More common, however, is that losses are the result of smaller ears. Four stalk rots commonly occur in Kansas. Fusarium, sometimes known as Gibberella stalk rot, is by far the most common. In hot, droughty years, charcoal rot becomes more common. In areas of the state with higher rainfall and cooler temperatures, anthracnose and Diplodia stalk rot also occasionally occur. Stalk rot management is a combination of hybrid selection, good weed and water management, providing adequate fertility, and managing foliar diseases. For more information, see Stalk Rots of Corn and Sorghum (www.plantpath.k-state.edu/extension/publications/L741.pdf).

The final group of diseases that cause yield loss are the ear rots. The most common are Aspergillus, Fusarium, Gibberella, and Diplodia ear rots. Severity of any particular ear rot disease is usually dependent on the environment. Aspergillus is more severe under hot, dry conditions. It produces aflatoxin, a mycotoxin that is highly regulated by the FDA because it is a potent carcinogen. Fusarium and Gibberella also can produce mycotoxins. Fusarium can produce fumonisin, a mycotoxin regulated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the fungus causing Gibberella ear rot is the same fungus responsible for head scab in wheat, so rotating wheat after corn in a reduced- or no-till tillage system is not recommended. Diplodia ear rot is common when frequent rains occur at silkling and for the two to three weeks afterward. The shrunken, discolored kernels, combined with
excessive debris from shattered cobs, often results in a significant dockage at the elevator. It does not produce mycotoxins. For more information, see Gear Rots (cropprotectionnetwork.org/download/2553), Mycotoxin FAQs (cropprotectionnetwork.org/download/2555), Grain Sampling for Mycotoxin Testing (cropprotectionnetwork.org/download/4941), and Storing Mycotoxin-Affected Grain (cropprotectionnetwork.org/download/4934).

Few other diseases have the potential for economic loss. Common corn smut, however, can be especially severe in corn fields that have been hailed on or are under severe drought stress at the time of silking.

### Insect Management

There are a number of options available for managing both above-ground corn pests, like ear-feeding caterpillars, stalk borers and foliage feeders, as well as below-ground pests, such as western corn rootworm and wireworms. With many fields in Kansas planted to continuous corn, insect resistance management is important to consider when purchasing seed and insecticides for the next growing season. All corn-feeding insects can develop resistance when they are exposed to the same products year after year, so choosing seed with different Bt traits and rotating in-furrow and seed-applied insecticides with different modes of action helps minimize this potential problem.

Recently, populations of western corn rootworm showing some level of pyrethroid resistance have been documented in western Kansas; minimizing pyrethroid exposure to both larvae and adults in this area is advised. A number of ear-feeding caterpillars are starting to show reduced control by certain insecticides and hybrids expressing Bt toxins; it is advisable to monitor fields to ensure products are controlling caterpillar pests as expected. If control is less than expected (i.e., high larval or adult survival), contact the product sales representative and your local extension agent/entomologist for help determining whether resistant pests are present in your field. It is important to do this immediately before an additional product is applied since resistance is determined by sampling live insects, which are taken directly from the field in question.

When controlling spider mites in corn, there are two things to consider: 1) conserving your beneficial insects whenever possible and 2) not all miticides show the same level of control. Beneficial insects and mites that prey on spider mites are essential to reducing any spider mites remaining in a corn field after miticides have been applied. Spider mites can multiply quickly in the absence of predators, and mites that survive an insecticide application due to poor coverage or timing can multiply exponentially. Harsh insecticides that kill beneficial organisms often cause “flaring” of mite populations post application. Some miticides kill only certain stages of spider mites, while others are more detrimental to beneficials, so knowing how each product works helps producers make more informed decisions about spider mite management (see Table 2).


### Risk Management and Corn Markets

**U.S. and World supply-demand situation.** The six largest U.S. corn crops on record have been produced since the drought of 2012 — including the record high of 15.148 billion bushels (bb) in 2016, 14.604 bb in 2017, and 14.626 bb in 2018 in the November 11, 2018 USDA World Agricultural Supply and Demand report. Due to strong demand for corn use in ethanol production, wet corn milling, livestock feeding, and exports, U.S. corn ending stocks are projected to be 1.736 billion bushels in the “new crop” 2018/19 marketing year — down from 2.293 bb in 2016/17, and 2.140 bb in 2017/18. Percent ending stocks-to-use are forecast to fall to 11.5 percent in the new crop 2018/19 marketing year, down from 15.6 percent in 2016/17, and 14.5 percent in 2017/18. Even with declining stocks, this is still considered a “large supply — low price” “buyer’s market,” by the USDA. As a result, the USDA has projected U.S. average corn

### Table 2. Miticides available for controlling spider mites in corn. All product data in this table is directly from the manufacturer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>Active ingredient</th>
<th>Grp #</th>
<th>Residual activity</th>
<th>Knock down</th>
<th>Kills eggs already laid?</th>
<th>Kills newly laid eggs?</th>
<th>Kills larvae?</th>
<th>Kills nymphs?</th>
<th>Kills adults?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portal XLO</td>
<td>Fenpyroximate</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td>10-14 d</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comite II</td>
<td>Propargite</td>
<td>12C</td>
<td>30 d</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberon 4SC</td>
<td>Spiromesifen</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14-30 d</td>
<td>Limited</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (F only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hero</td>
<td>Bifenthrin/</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10-14 d</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>zeta-cy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigade</td>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10-14 d</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>dimethoate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5-7 d</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeal SC</td>
<td>Etoxazole</td>
<td>10B</td>
<td>varies</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onager</td>
<td>Hexythiazox</td>
<td>10A</td>
<td>60 d</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
prices in market year 2018/19 to be in the $3.20 to $4.00 range (with a midpoint forecast of $3.60 per bushel — up moderately from $3.36 per bushel in both the 2016/17 and 2018/19 marketing years.

Corn price expectations and contingencies. Lower U.S. corn prices are likely to prevail through the winter months until at least mid-spring to early summer 2019 unless unexpected and substantial crop production problems occur in other major coarse grain production regions of the world, such as Argentina, Brazil, China, or the Ukraine. If tangible crop production problems do not happen in these major grain producing areas until February-April 2019, and later during the summer months in the United States, then U.S. corn prices are likely to remain at current low-to-moderate price levels. Financial market volatility, trends in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other world currencies, and other economic factors may affect the U.S. corn market and other agricultural and energy commodity prices in coming months. Absent any of these occurrences in 2019, in-state corn prices will likely follow the average normal seasonal price pattern since the 1998/99 marketing year. This would include harvest-time lows followed by at least moderate seasonal increases through spring-early summer 2019, with weather-based market volatility influencing U.S. corn prices through the mid-to-late summer months.

Kansas cash corn prices. On November 13, 2018, central Kansas cash corn prices at major terminals ranged from $3.19¾ ($0.47 under DEC 2018 Corn) to $3.31½ ($0.35 under) per bushel. Eastern Kansas cash corn prices at major terminals were $3.66½ per bushel (even with DEC 2018 Corn). Western Kansas cash corn prices at major terminals ranged from $3.29 ($0.38 under DEC 2018 Corn) to $3.72 ($0.05 over) per bushel. Ethanol plant bids ranged from $3.42¼ ($0.25 under) to $3.92¾ ($0.025 over) per bushel.

Kansas irrigated and non-irrigated corn cost of production for 2012-2017. The cash corn prices listed above at Kansas locations were equal to or below Kansas State University estimates of average full cost of production from Kansas Farm Management Association enterprise records for 2012-2017. The statewide average irrigated corn cost of production was $3.60 per bushel in 2017, and $4.51 per bushel on average over the 2012-2016 period. For non-irrigated corn, estimates of statewide average full cost of production were $3.93 per bushel in 2017, and $5.22 per bushel on average over the 2012-2016 period. Total variable cost of production (excluding machinery depreciation, unpaid operator labor, interest expense, and the charge for owned farmland) in 2017 were $2.71 per bushel for irrigated corn and $2.81 per bushel for non-irrigated. Total cost of production of corn in Kansas in 2017 was lower than in previous years due to higher than normal yields — allowing costs to be spread out over more units of production.

What signals about 2019 are the CME corn futures markets providing? As of November 13, 2018, the structure of futures contract prices over the remainder of the 2018/19 marketing year (i.e., through August 31, 2019) provides a price neutral-to-negative incentive for commercial storage of grain through mid-July (i.e., $0.0382 per bushel per month), but is neutral-to-positive for on-farm storage at 50 to 65 percent of commercial costs. On November 13, 2018, CME DEC 2018 corn futures closed trade at $3.6650 per bushel, followed by MARCH 2019 CME corn at $3.7775, MAY 2019 at $3.8575, JULY 2019 at $3.9325, and SEPT 2018 CME corn at $3.9475 per bushel. Per month futures carrying charges for DEC-MARCH were $0.0375 per bushel, MARCH-MAY were $0.04 per bushel, $0.0375 per bushel per month for MAY-JULY, and $0.0075 per bushel for JULY-SEPTEMBER.

Restated, if commercial storage costs before interest are $0.04 to $0.05 per bushel per month, then mid-November 2018 CME corn futures carrying charges offer a moderately less than “break-even” incentive for storing grain. The possibility remains that local cash basis levels may narrow or strengthen enough relative to futures prices to make commercial corn storage strategies profitable. If on-farm storage cost is $0.02 to $0.026 per bushel, then on-farm storage (before cost of interest) appears likely to be a “modestly profitable” marketing strategy — with the possibility of any rally in corn futures or narrowing of basis in spring-early summer 2019 adding to potential returns.

On November 13, 2018, CME NOV 2018 soybean futures closed at $9.30 with CME DEC 2018 corn futures at $3.9975, with a ratio of 2.33. Over time this ratio of soybean-to-corn futures prices would be considered “neutral” from an expected profitability standpoint.
Seed depth uniformity is the next key goal while planting. Consistent seeding is particularly imperative with high-speed planters operating at 8 to 10 mph. An accurate seed depth and seed-to-soil contact is vital for uniform emergence and maximum yield potential. Planter active downforce control is another technology being introduced in most commercial planters. Planters typically use either individual row unit or section (simultaneous control of two or more row units) control to monitor and manage uniform gauge wheel load. Once the planter is set for target seed depth, the user assigns the target gauge wheel load, or margin, into the field computer. Load cells measure real-time gauge wheel load (load distribution between opening discs and gauge wheels) and provide feedback to the field computer for row unit downforce control. During field operation, if the real-time gauge wheel load decreases from the target value, the downforce system exerts additional pressure on the row unit through parallel linkages to increase the downforce/gauge wheel load, and vice versa in case gauge wheel load increases. These newer technologies along with telematics provide users with real-time planting performance. Users can monitor operating parameters such as speed, population on each row, downforce, singulation, and row unit ride quality to optimize performance, increase efficiency, and enhance productivity.

Irrigation

Corn is the most commonly irrigated crop in Kansas with nearly one-half of the approximately 3 million irrigated acres in Kansas producing corn. The statewide, irrigated corn yield has steadily risen at a rate of about 2.1 bushels per acre per year since 1974. Although corn does have a sensitive or critical crop growth stage at the beginning of its reproductive stage, it has an excellent water productivity or water use-yield response curve, often making it the most economically sound crop for both full irrigation and deficit irrigation production.

Water use requirements. Corn grown in Kansas has reported growing seasonal crop water use requirements ranging from 20.12 to 31.60 inches for the full-season varieties grown (Table 3). The average crop water use for the state would be about 23 inches with a slightly higher value in the west than in the east. The amount varies depending on weather conditions and can be influenced, to a lesser degree, by population and maturity. Daily crop water use can exceed 0.50 inch per day, but a 3-day average peak crop water use rate is 0.3 to 0.35 inch per day, which is typical with all summer-grown seed crops. Crop water use rate increases with crop growth from emergence until full cover is reached.
at the beginning of the reproductive stage. This rate begins to diminish as the crop approaches physiological maturity. More information on crop water use of Kansas crops is available in K-State Research and Extension publication *Agricultural Crop Water Use*, L934.

Average net seasonal irrigation requirements for corn in Kansas range from about 5 inches in the east to nearly 16 inches in the west. For drier years, net irrigation requirements for 80 percent chance rainfall (80 percent chance rainfall is a dry year value, the amount of rain one would expect to equal or exceed eight out of 10 years) increase to a range of about 9 inches in the east to more than 17 inches in the west. Yield response to irrigation by corn is excellent. To achieve high crop-water productivity, irrigation systems must be efficient and irrigation water should be scheduled. Scheduling can be achieved by a variety of methods; the most common are either soil based or climate based. Climate based is often referred to as evapotranspiration (ET) based scheduling. ET is a scientific word for crop water use. A combination of soil and ET based scheduling is also complimentary since the two methods use different information sources to develop the irrigation schedule and serve as a check. The KanSched irrigation scheduling program is available along with other irrigation decision support tools at [www.bae.ksu.edu/mobileirrigationlab/](http://www.bae.ksu.edu/mobileirrigationlab/).

Figure 4 illustrates the importance of scheduling irrigation water. In this example, six levels of irrigation water were applied each year to develop the annual irrigation water response curve. The yield is shown as relative yield, which is the yield of each year divided by the yield of the highest irrigation treatment yield for that year. The applied irrigation amount for the 100 percent relative yield for this period of record ranged from about 7 inches to almost 19 inches with an average of about 12 inches, which is marked in Figure 4 with an arrow. If an annual irrigation schedule were based on this average, the irrigation schedule would have been correct for only one year (2008) in terms of matching total irrigation need. Daily scheduling of irrigation amounts would have still been needed to account for the variances of precipitation during the growing season.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Seasonal Crop Water Use (ET) (Inches)</th>
<th>Generalized or Reported Maximum Daily Peak Crop Water Use (Inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa</td>
<td>31.5 – 63.0</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>15.6 – 31.6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>17.4 – 27.6</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain Sorghum</td>
<td>16.0 – 30.6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower</td>
<td>16.0 – 39.4</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>15.4 – 25.6</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Value appears low; see Agricultural Crop Water Use, L934 for more discussion.*